



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Law Caw

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Anthony M. Gambaro	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 4494
09/747,594	12/22/2000			659/620	
757	7590	07/08/2003			
		LSON & LIONE	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 10 CHICAGO, I				FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3724	1/
				DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Angliagatio) A.					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/747,594	GAMBARO ET AL.					
Office Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724					
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the t	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 A</u>	-						
·	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims	nce except for formal matters, pr Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is 153 O.G. 213.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-30</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 31-39</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		,					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b)☐ objected to by the Exa	miner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provides 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 15	5) Notice of Informal I	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/747,594

Art Unit: 3724

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bush, Jr. et al.

 Bush discloses the method of cutting a plurality of substrates comprising the step of: placing a log of substrate on a conveyor, the log having a length and a width (Fig. 2); advancing the conveyor; discharging the log from the conveyor onto a transfer plate (Fig. 7); placing the log into a pocket on a cutting support (Fig. 1); rotating the pocket containing the log toward a plurality of circular cutting blades; rotating the pocket containing the log through the circular cutting blades; rotating the pocket containing the circular cutting blades; and discharging the rolls from the pocket.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/747,594

Art Unit: 3724

4. Claims 1-17 and 31-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perini (4, 329, 895) in view of Rood and Gingras et al.

Perini discloses the method of cutting a plurality of moist substrates comprising the step of: placing the log from a transfer plate 1 into a pocket on a cutting support (Fig. 2); advancing the pocket containing the log toward a plurality of cutting blades (Fig. 1 and 3); advancing the pocket containing the rolls away from the cutting blades (Fig. 3); metering the rate at the log is discharged; discharging the rolls from the pocket; and honing the cutting blades while in the position (col. 3, line 33-36). Perini doesn't show the method of placing a log of moist substrate on a conveyor with moisture content of at least about 50% or 65%, advancing the conveyor and discharging the log from the conveyor onto the transfer plate. However, Rood teaches the method of placing a log on a conveyor (Fig. 1), advancing the conveyor and discharging the log from the conveyor onto a transfer plate 20 for the purpose of orienting the product which does not require human assistance. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Perini's device by providing the method of placing a log on a conveyor, advancing the conveyor and discharging the log from the conveyor onto a transfer plate as taught by Rood in order to orienting the product which does not require human assistance.

Regarding the web with moisture content of least about 50% and 65%, Gingras teaches the use of a web with moisture content of at least about 50% and 65% for the for the purpose of uniformly distributing the wetting solution throughout the roll. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Perini's

Application/Control Number: 09/747,594

Art Unit: 3724

device by providing the web with moisture content of at least about 50% and 65% as taught by Gingras in order to uniformly distributing the wetting solution throughout the roll.

Regarding claims 2-3, 5, 11-12, 15-16, 32-33, 36 and 37, the modified invention of Perini discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a log of at least 2540 mm long and a diameter from 50 mm to 250mm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Perini's device, we do not feel that this limitation is patentably significant since it at most relates to the size of the article under consideration which is not ordinary as matter of invention. In re Yount, 36C.C.P.A.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 and 31-39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 703-308-0167. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9302 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3724

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

ofs

June 30, 2003

Allan M. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700